

appears Appellant may be permitted to seek modification of his sentence *nunc pro tunc* before the sentencing court, asserting he had not received the benefit of the guilty plea bargain negotiated with the Commonwealth and approved by the court.” ***Id.*** at 576-77 (citing ***Fajohn v. Com., Dep’t of Corrs.***, 692 A.2d 1067, 1068 n.1 (Pa. 1997); ***Commonwealth v. Zuber***, 353 A.2d 441, 444 (Pa. 1976)).

Appellant followed that suggestion here when he filed his “Motion to Modify Sentence Enforce [sic] Plea Agreement *Nunc Pro Tunc*.” ***See*** Motion, filed 6/11/18, at 3 (citing ***Duncan***). The trial court refused relief, however, because Appellant waited approximately one year and three months after he first learned that the Board would not honor his concurrent sentence to file his *nunc pro tunc* motion. ***See*** Trial Court Opinion, filed 2/19/19, at 6. I do not consider that to have been an abuse of discretion. I therefore concur in the Majority’s affirmance.